

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/648,402	HOOFARD ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Raymond W. Addie	3671

**All Participants:**

(1) Raymond W. Addie.

**Status of Application:** FAOM attached herewith

(2) \_\_\_\_\_.

(3) P alan Larson.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 18 August 2004

**Time:** 2:00PM

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*none*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
*Raymond W. Addie*  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant submitted 2 IDS's filed 10/14/03 and 4/15/2004, thet 4/15 IDS wrongly listed the Atty. Docket #, Application serial #, and the filing date. Applicant was asked if the cited references should be considered; to which Applicant requested the references be considered. Hence, the Examiner corrected the information on the IDS forms..